

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,306	08/05/2003	Chris Boyer	222151	7895
23460 7:	590 11/02/2006		EXAMINER	
	IT & MAYER, LTD	SPISICH, MARK		
	NTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 490 TETSON AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL	L 60601-6731		1744	
			DATE MAILED: 11/02/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/634,306	BOYER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Mark Spisich	1744				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	••			
A SH WHII - Exte afte - If No - Faili Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Densions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI e, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 A	August 2006.					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	•			
Disposit	tion of Claims						
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-57 is/are pending in the application	١.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>46-57</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-27,32,33 and 40-45</u> is/are rejected						
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>28-31 and 34-39</u> is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicat	tion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	·	• • • •				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152	2.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·	- (-			
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	•					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in A	Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have beer	nreceived in this National Stage	;			
	application from the International Burea	nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachmer	• •						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of	Informal Patent Application				
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/2004</u> .	6)	·				

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of the invention of Group I in the reply filed on 22 August 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is no undo serious burden. This is not found persuasive because the "serious burden" argument is very difficult to make without pointing out the specific requirements of the claims of the different group(s). Granted, each of the groups relates in part to a "mop element"; however, a butterfly mop per se is well known in the art and the different groups are divergent with respect to their subject matter or details on the mop as to meet the burden requirement.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 46-57 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 22 August 2006.

Comment RE Claim 38

The following minor error is found in claim 38: "position" (line 2) should be "portion".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 1-26,33 and 40-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 refers back to the "central"

Art Unit: 1744

axis" (clam 1, line 7) before it is ever positively recited (line 9). "Said second channel body portion including" (claim 11, lines 2-3) is confusing. It would seem that "said second leg portion including" would be better. There is no antecedent support for the recitation of the first and second portions of the absorbent member (claim 22, lines 5-7). "Wringing direction" (claim 33, line 4) lacks antecedent. Being that there are two (a first and a second) wings recited in claim 40, the recitation of "said wing" (claim 40, line 14 and also claim 44, line 3) is indefinite. Applicant should review the claims for any additional informalities.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 22 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Niccolai (US PUB 2006/0137121). Niccolai discloses a mop element (36) comprising a sponge (38) and a mounting element comprised of a pair of support portions (40) interconnected by flexible members (42).
- 6. Claim 22 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Strahs (USP 4,216,562). The patent to Strahs discloses a mop element (10) comprising

Art Unit: 1744

a compressible absorbent member (14), a mounting element (16) connected to the absorbent member and including a first (24) and second (26) support portion and further including a flexible member (30) interconnecting the first and second support portions such that the mop element may flex about the central portion thereof (fig 3).

- 7. Claims 22 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Footer et al (USP 6,058,548). The patent to Footer discloses a mop element comprising a compressible absorbent member (126) and a mounting element comprising first (131A) and second (131B) support portions interconnected with a flexible member(s) (column 3, lines 28-33).
- 8. Claims 32 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jones (USP 4,864,675). The patent to Jones discloses a mop (10) comprising a shaft (12), mop element comprising a sponge (20) and a scrubber member (22) and an actuator link (16).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 1-11,14,15,16 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 99/09876 in view of Footer et al (USP 6,058,548). '876 discloses a mop (20) comprising a shaft (21), channel body (50) having a pair of leg portions (51,52), mop element (26) comprising an absorbent material (101) attached to

Art Unit: 1744

a mounting element (104) and an actuator link (68). '876 discloses the invention substantially as claimed with the exception of the flexible member interconnecting the first and second support portions of the mounting element. The patent to Footer discloses a mop element with first and second support portions (131A,131B) interconnected with three flexible members (fig 3 and column 3, lines 28-33). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to have modified the mop of '876 as such as it is shown to be an art-recognized equivalent mop element for a butterfly-type mop.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 11. Claims 40-45 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 12. Claims 12,13,17-20 and 23-26 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 13. Claims 28-31 and 34-39 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patent to Teufel is pertinent to the scrubbing member and a retaining member.

Art Unit: 1744

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Spisich whose telephone number is (571) 272-1278. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (5:30-3:00), Alternate Fri off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys Corcoran can be reached on (571) 272-1214. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mark Spisich Primary Examir

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1744